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- Acid-based geopolymers display different processing-dependent working properties.
- Acid-based geopolymers are mostly composed of Al-O-P and Si(OH)$_4$ networks.
- The quantities of Al-O-P and unreacted metakaolin govern the thermal resistance.
- The increase of the Young’s modulus is related to a higher thermal resistance.
- Ordered structures and low amounts of physisobed water lead to water resistance.

Graphical Abstract Resistance of acid-based geopolymers with associated structural and working properties.
## Supplementary material

### Table 1: Identified crystalline phases and band attributions according to XRD and NMR analyses for each untreated and thermally-treated sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Untreated (25°C)</th>
<th>Thermally treated (1000°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m3-20</td>
<td>Silicate acid</td>
<td>AIO-P (bedding) APO4-T APO4-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-70</td>
<td>Silicate acid</td>
<td>AIO-P (bedding) APO4-T APO4-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-20</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>AIO-P (bedding) APO4-T APO4-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-40</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>AIO-P (bedding) APO4-T APO4-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Positions and intensities of amorphous species measured by XRD for each sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Position (°)</th>
<th>I (SiO2)</th>
<th>I (SiO4)</th>
<th>I (SiO6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m3-20</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-20</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-40</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3-40</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Acid-based geopolymers exhibit interesting properties, such as higher temperature resistance (up to 1450°C) and better mechanical properties, as compared to alkali-based geopolymers. The aim of this work was to highlight the origin of water and thermal resistances of acid-based geopolymers, demonstrated in our previous study, by $^{27}$Al, $^{29}$Si and $^{31}$P NMR and XRD experiments. Different networks were indeed identified in consolidated materials, such as Al-O-P, Si-O-P, vitreous silica and Si-O-Al (only for Al/P =4, due to unreacted metakaolin) depending on processing conditions. The final properties are induced by the proportion of these networks which are controlled by the Al/P ratio and the setting temperature. It was shown that a higher amount of Al-O-P bonds and the absence of unreacted metakaolin conduct to a thermally resistant sample. A more organized structure with a low proportion of $Q^0$(1Al) and a preference for $Q^1$(2Al), as seen by $^{31}$P NMR, induces a great water resistance of geopolymers. After heating at 1000°C, different AlPO$_4$ polymorphs crystallize, as well as mullite in the case of Al/P=4.
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1. Introduction

Alkali-based geopolymers are well-known materials since many decades [i]. They result from the dissolution of an aluminosilicate source in an alkaline silicate solution [ii]. Recently, acid-based geopolymers are gaining interest from the scientific community for different applications, due to their promising properties. For instance, such materials exhibit a higher temperature resistance (up to 1450°C) [iii] and greater mechanical properties [iv] than alkali-based geopolymers. On a structural point of view, acid-based geopolymers consist of three-dimensional networks of AlPO$_4$ and [Si-O-P]. It has indeed been reported that during synthesis, the dissolution of the aluminosilicate source in phosphoric acid leads to the release of Al$^{3+}$ which react with H$_3$PO$_4$. The reaction between these entities later results in the formation of AlPO$_4$ as observed by several authors [iv, v]. Moreover, the formation of [Si-O-P] bonds was also observed [vi]. Finally, the amount of available acid can affect the structure and the final properties of the material. On this subject, Douiri et al. [vii] demonstrated that the decrease of Si/P ratio leads to a higher content of amorphous phase in the geopolymer structure due to a better dissolution of the precursors.

A previous work [viii] has shown that acid-based geopolymers may have different resistance properties (thermal or water resistance), governed both by the chemical composition and the setting temperature of materials [ix, x]. However, their structure before and after thermal treatment was seldom exposed in the literature. A combination of spectroscopic techniques such as Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) [xi], Raman [xii] and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) can be useful to study these materials due to the presence of amorphous phase. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns can also be used to study the amorphous humps and the crystalline phases formed after a heat treatment. Moreover, the publications dealing with amorphous materials such as glasses or gels can also be helpful to understand the structure and
the different networks formed in acid-based geopolymers and will be developed in the next sections.

Firstly, in the case of the system Al₂O₃-P₂O₅, published works on Al₂O₃ doped phosphate glasses [xiii, xiv] proved the strong affinity between P⁵⁺ and Al³⁺ ions. The addition of Al³⁺ ions induces a modification of the phosphate glassy networks as demonstrated by NMR experiments. The original P-O-P bonds are indeed partly replaced by Al-O-P bonds by the insertion of Al⁶⁺ into the network. This fact was evidenced by the formation of Q¹(1Al), as observed on ³¹P NMR spectra. Also, an heterogeneous distribution of Al³⁺ in the glassy network, causing a localized high concentration of Al³⁺, led to the formation of Q¹(2Al). Moreover, it was shown that the addition of aluminum ions in phosphate glasses improves their chemical stability, and in particular, their water resistance. The dehydration and the temperature dependent phase transitions of AlPO₄ based molecular sieves was studied by Varlec et al. [xv]. Based on an NMR study, they demonstrated that the hydrothermally stable AlPO₄-34 contains hydrated aluminophosphate below 200°C. The crystallization of triclinic phases from the amorphous aluminophosphate occurred during the synthesis, a greater occurrence being observed with an increase of the dwell time. Furthermore, XRD and NMR experiments conducted on AlPO₄-5 molecular sieves [xvi] highlighted their transition from hexagonal to orthorhombic phases before calcination. The different phases observed (hexagonal, triclinic and orthorhombic) correspond to the different polymorphs of AlPO₄ (assigned berlinite, phosphotridymite [xvii] and phosphocristobalite [xviii] respectively).

Then, several authors have studied the SiO₂-P₂O₅ glass system, showing that the addition of P₂O₅ in silicate glasses results in the formation of Si-O-P bonds [xix, xx]. PO₄³⁻ and SiO₄⁴⁻ groups indeed copolymerize, leading to the easy incorporation of P⁵⁺ in the silicate network and the formation of the more covalent Si-O-P bonds [xxi]. However, the presence of the P=O bond in the PO₄³⁻ group limits the formation of the Si-O-P network. This effect leads
to a partial conservation of the Si-O-Si bonds. Finally, Weeding et al. [xxii] studied the devitrification of a SiO$_2$-P$_2$O$_5$ glass at 850, 1000 and 1300°C. Different crystalline compounds are formed in this system: SiP$_2$O$_7$ regardless of the temperature and Si$_3$O(PO$_4$)$_6$ at 850 and 1000°C. An amorphous compound is also found in these materials at 850 and 1000°C.

Concerning the SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$ system, Zagrajczuk et al. [xxiii] presented the structure of their gel-derived materials. On XRD patterns, a broad hump, located between 20 and 30°, is characteristic of aluminosilicate amorphous materials. The main bonds present in the resulting structure are Si-O-Si, Al-O-Al and Si-O-Al. Si-OH silanol groups can also be present due to non-bridging oxygen atoms in the silica networks. After a heat treatment, the crystallization of mullite was observed, as it occurs in the solid state synthesis of SiO$_2$ + Al$_2$O$_3$ powders [xxiv]. The structure of this compound corresponds to a random distribution of tetrahedrally coordinated Al and Si.

Finally, glasses belonging to the SiO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$-P$_2$O$_5$ system were also studied by many authors [xxv, xxvi, xxvii, xxviii] and the reported bonds are similar to the ones formed in the different binaries. The strong affinity between Al$^{3+}$ and P$^{5+}$ leads to the predominant formation of Al-O-P bonds, followed by Si-O-P bonds. Moreover, free amorphous silica is present in the glass and is characterized by the presence of Si-OH and –P=O units in its network. The AlPO$_4$ units formed are randomly distributed in this silica network. It is also reported that, when Al is in excess in comparison to P, it is associated with Si to form Si-O-Al bonds.

The structural evolution of acid-based geopolymers after thermal treatment is reported in literature. In all the cases, AlPO$_4$ polymorphs were observed, although their temperature dependent distribution is different. Le-ping et al. [xxix] have shown that berlinite appears up to 900°C, then cristobalite from 1150°C and finally mullite. Gualteri et al. [xxx] confirmed the presence of berlinite from 900°C. Moreover, they observed tridymite and cristobalite type
polymorphs of AlPO₄ and mullite after a heat treatment at 1000°C. From 1300°C, no amorphous phase was detected [xxxi].

The present study is a structural investigation focused on four acid-based geopolymers exhibiting different resistance properties [viii]. This study, based on $^{27}$Al, $^{29}$Si, $^{31}$P NMR and XRD experiments, aims to highlight the structural origin of thermal and water resistance of these materials.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Raw materials

The aluminosilicate source was a kaolin supplied by Imerys (Limoges, France), with a Si/Al ratio of 1, which was calcined at 750°C for 4 hours at a heating rate of 5°C/min to obtain the metakaolin noted M3. The characteristics and reactivity of this source were previously studied by Gharzouni et al. [xxxii]. The ACS grade solution of 85 wt.% of phosphoric acid was supplied by VWR (Radnor, USA) and corresponds to a concentration of 14.7 mol.L⁻¹. The synthesis of acid-based geopolymer is described in Figure 1. Metakaolin M3 was mixed with diluted phosphoric acid solution in order to synthesize different compositions of geopolymers. Samples with two Al/P molar ratios (1 and 4) as well as three consolidation temperatures (20, 40 and 70 °C) were prepared. The concentration of the diluted phosphoric acid solution varied for the two Al/P ratio, i.e. 8.9 mol.L⁻¹ for Al/P = 1 and 2.2 mol.L⁻¹ for Al/P = 4. According to a previous work [viii], four samples, characteristics of different properties (thermal resistance (TR), water resistance (WR1 and WR2) and none resistance (N)), were chosen. These samples’ names, properties and synthesis parameters are presented in the Table 1.

2.2 Sample characterization

The samples were calcined up to 1000°C in a furnace at 5°C.min⁻¹ heating rate, followed by a two hours dwelling time and a natural cooling.
The Young’s modulus of each sample was measured by contact echography technique [xxxiii] on cylindrical samples (28 mm in diameter and 6 mm tall). Two couples of longitudinal and transversal transducers were used. Then the sample was placed between each couple of transducers in order to measure the time delay of the waves. From this delay, the speed of longitudinal and transversal waves and then the Young’s modulus value were determined.

The amount of physisorbed water present in the samples after a 7 days immersion in water was determined both by differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) performed with an SDT Q600 apparatus from TA Instruments. The experiment was conducted up to 800°C at a heating rate of 5°C.min⁻¹, in an atmosphere of flowing dry air (100 mL.min⁻¹) in platinum crucibles. The temperature signals were measured with Pt/Pt–10%Rh thermocouples. The samples were previously dried at 40 °C during 12h and then crushed in an agate mortar. The measured weight loss corresponds to the first mass loss of the sample and was measured from 20°C to 70°C.

A Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer operating at 79.49 MHz (²⁹Si signal), 104.26 MHz (²⁷Al signal) and 161.98 MHz (³¹P signal) was used for high resolution MAS-NMR experiments at room temperature. NMR spectra were recorded after π/2 pulse irradiation (2 µs) using a 10 kHz filter to improve the signal/noise ratio. 120 scans were collected for each crushed sample. The time between acquisitions was set to 10 s to minimize saturation effects. DMFIT software was used to obtain the relative area of components. Different models employed were “quad 1st model”, “Gaussian/Lorentzian distribution” and “CSA model” for ²⁷Al, ²⁹Si and ³¹P NMR data respectively. Estimated errors for chemical shifts and relative area are of 0.2 ppm and 2% respectively.

The crushed samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer using CuKα radiation. The data were collected over the 2θ angular range of 5-60° with a step size of 0.02° and an equivalent measured time per step of 50 s. The
crystalline phases were identified from the experimental patterns using the powder diffraction file (PDF) database of the International Center for Diffraction Data. Peakoc software [xxxiv] was used to obtain the positions and intensities of the amorphous contributions observed. The refinement was performed from 5 to 60° using a Voigt function that takes into account Kα1 and Kα2 wavelengths. The continuous background was fitted by a 2nd order polynomial.

3. Results

3.1 Working properties

Young’s modulus of the consolidated samples were measured for the four different resistances, as defined in [viii], namely TR, WR1, WR2 and N. As seen in Table 2, the Young’s modulus decreases, both with the increase of the setting temperature and the Al/P ratio. Moreover, 1-M3-20 sample, which is the only thermal resistant sample, possesses the highest Young’s modulus with a value of 21GPa. This is probably due to the presence of stronger bonds in the material, which is in agreement with the thermal resistance of the sample.

The amount of physisorbed water present in the samples after the water resistance test was measured using thermal analysis. As an example, Figure 2 represents the weight loss of the 1-M3-20 sample. These losses, measured between 20°C and 70°C, are reported in Table 2. Although they are quite close, they are slightly different for each sample, with the highest value of 5.7% for 4-M3-40 and the lowest of 3.8% for 4-M3-20. Moreover, 1-M3-70 and 4-M3-20 are water resistant. This means that water resistant samples absorb less than 5% of water during a prolonged immersion.

3.2 Structural properties - NMR

*Consolidated geopolymers*
Structural informations about raw samples were obtained by MAS-NMR experiments. The measured spectra, showing the identified contributions, are given in Figure 3 and all the deconvolution data are gathered in the Table 3.

As a reminder, NMR of metakaolin M3, which is also given in Table 3, has already been studied by Gharzouni et al. [xxxii] concerning $^{27}$Al and Autef et al. [xxxv] for $^{29}$Si. In the case of $^{27}$Al spectra, three contributions were identified at 56, 30 and 1 ppm, corresponding to $\text{Al}^\text{IV}$, $\text{Al}^\text{V}$ and $\text{Al}^\text{VI}$ in the metakaolin respectively. Silicium entities observed at -96 and -107 ppm could be attributed to $Q^4(2\text{Al})$ of $\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Al}$ bonds in metakaolin and $Q^4(0\text{Al})$ of quartz.

As shown in Figure 3, whatever the geopolymer, $^{27}$Al, $^{29}$Si and $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra present relatively broad peaks, revealing a disordered structure. Firstly, on $^{27}$Al spectra of 1-M3-20 (TR) sample (Figure 3A), the signal includes two contributions at -13 and -22 ppm corresponding to $\text{Al}^\text{VI}$ of $\text{Al}(\text{OP})_4$ entities [xxvi, xxxvi]. The relative area of these two contributions are quite similar (58 and 42% respectively). When the consolidation temperature is higher, i.e. 70°C (1-M3-70 (WR1) sample), the same contributions are visible but the relative area of the first peak varies from 58% to 49%, the second one remaining constant (Table 3). Moreover, two additional contributions are observed at 43 and 28 ppm which can be attributed to $\text{Al}^\text{IV}$ of $\text{Al}(\text{OP})_4$ entities [xxvi, xxxvii, xxxviii] and $\text{Al}^\text{V}$ of metakaolin [ii] respectively. When the Al/P ratio increases (4M3-20 (WR2) and 4-M3-40 (N) samples), the contributions at -10 and -23 ppm are still observed. However, the area of the first one decreases while the second remains similar, as compared to samples with Al/P = 1. The three other identified contributions for 4-M3-20 (WR2), at 50, 28 and 9 ppm, correspond to $\text{Al}^\text{IV}$, $\text{Al}^\text{V}$ and $\text{Al}^\text{VI}$ of metakaolin respectively [ii, 36]. In the case of Al/P = 4, the metakaolin is in excess in the reactive mixture and its dissolution is incomplete [ii]. Therefore these contributions coincide with the quantity of unreacted metakaolin in the sample. 4-M3-40 (N) sample exhibits the same contributions as
4-M3-20 (WR2) sample, except for the Al\(^{VI}\) which disappears. This trend is the consequence of a better dissolution of the metakaolin at this temperature.

In the case of \(^{29}\)Si MAS-NMR spectra (Figure 3B), five contributions were identified for all samples at -90, -94, -102, -106 and -111 ppm, and attributed to Q\(^4\)(3Al), Q\(^4\)(2Al) and Q\(^4\)(1Al) of metakaolin, Q\(^4\)(0Al) of quartz [v, vi, xxxvi] and silicic acid [xxxix] respectively. 1-M3-20 (TR) mostly contains silicic acid. With an increase of the consolidation temperature, the relative area of each contribution slightly evolves, as seen for 1-M3-70 (WR1) (Table 3). For 4-M3-20 (WR2) sample, the curve areas vary significantly and Q\(^4\)(1Al) becomes the major contribution with 36%. Silicic acid is again the major contribution in the case of 4-M3-40 (N) sample. This can be explained by the better dissolution of the metakaolin due to the thermal activation already supposed from \(^{27}\)Al measurement. Indeed, similarly to the alkali activation [ii], the increase of the setting temperature promotes the dissolution of metakaolin as well as the polycondensation reactions. This fact also explains the absence of Al\(^{VI}\) of metakaolin in this sample.

Finally, whatever the Al/P ratio, the same environments were identified on \(^{31}\)P spectra (Figure 3C). The three contributions at -1, -7 and -16 ppm correspond to Q\(^1\)(0Al), Q\(^1\)(1Al) and Q\(^1\)(2Al), respectively [xl, xli]. For all the samples, the predominant entity, with an intensity value of at least 75%, is Q\(^1\)(2Al), which confirms the presence of Al-O-P bonds as observed in the \(^{27}\)Al spectra. The minor contribution is Q\(^1\)(0Al) (less than 4%) which is in agreement with the presence of a low quantity of unreacted PO\(_4^{3-}\) tetrahedra from phosphoric acid. This fact confirms that almost all of the phosphoric acid reacts with the metakaolin. 1-M3-20 (TR) sample exhibits 80% of the main contribution (Q\(^1\)(2Al)) (Table 2). When the setting temperature increases (1-M3-70 (WR1)), the percentage of the curve area of Q\(^1\)(2Al) increases (90%) at the expense of those from Q\(^1\)(1Al) and Q\(^1\)(0Al). In the case of 4-M3-20 (WR2), a new contribution at -11 ppm (9%) corresponding to amorphous Al-O-P [xxxviii] is visible.
Moreover, the amount of the contribution corresponding to $Q^1(2\text{Al})$ decreases when the Al/P ratio increases (around 77%). For 4-M3-40 (N) sample, the contribution of amorphous Al-O-P disappears with the increase of consolidation temperature. This leads to a higher percentage of the curve area of the contribution of $Q^1(1\text{Al})$ from 13 to 19%.

To summarize these results, a graphic representation is given in Figure 4, which represents the relative areas of the different contributions, plotted as a function of the chemical shifts for the species $^{31}\text{P}$, $^{29}\text{Si}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}$, for all the samples. It was shown that an increase in the ratio Al/P tends to enhance the contributions due to metakaolin, as it can be seen by the presence of these contributions in Figure 4A. Indeed, samples synthetized with Al/P = 4 contain an excess of metakaolin, reaching its dissolution limit. This induces, in Figure 4B, the presence of less silicic acid and $Q^4(1\text{Al})$ corresponding respectively to silicon bonded to surface OH (Si(OH)$_4$) and to Si-O-Al bonds. We can note that these bonds are formed due to the affinity of the released Al and the silicon coming from the de-aluminated metakaolin. Concerning, the reaction between Al and P, the contributions of Al$^{VI}$-O-P bonds are dominant for Al/P of 1, which confirms the strong affinity of these species. The presence of these bonds allows to assume the formation of AlPO$_4$ crystals during a high temperature thermal treatment. The increase of the setting temperature for the samples with Al/P =1 causes a decrease of Al$^{VI}$–O-P replaced by Al$^{IV}$. This could lead to the formation, of different types of AlPO$_4$ crystals during the calcination, this point will be developed later. Moreover, Al$^{V}$ of metakaolin are not fully consumed for this sample. The increase of the amount of silicic acid is explained by the decrease of Si-O-Al bonds ($Q^4(1\text{Al})$) due to a lower quantity of free aluminum able to form these bonds.

In the case of Al/P = 4, the increase of the setting temperature does not affect the quantity of Al$^{VI}$–O-P. However, the Al$^{VI}$ from metakaolin disappears (Figure 4A). This absence causes a decrease of Al-O-Si bonds from $Q^4(1\text{Al})$, leading to more silicon being available to react with surface OH to form silicic acid.
After a calcination at 1000°C (Figure 2.b), the NMR peaks of the samples, represented in Figure 5, are narrower than the ones measured on consolidated samples, indicating a higher degree of structural order [xlii]. $^{27}$Al MAS-NMR spectra (Figure 5A) show that 1-M3-20 (TR) and 1-M3-70 (WR1) samples exhibits a unique contribution at 39 ppm corresponding to AlPO$_4$ entities of berlinite [xxvi] and phosphotridymite [xliii]. With a ratio Al/P = 4, two other contributions at 57 and 1 ppm, corresponding to Al$^{IV}$ and Al$^{VI}$ of mullite respectively, were identified [xxiv]. This mullite crystallized from the unreacted metakaolin present in these samples. On $^{29}$Si spectra (Figure 5B), three contributions at -104, -114 and -124 ppm were observed for 1-M3-20 (TR). These contributions correspond to quartz impurity in the raw metakaolin M3, disordered free silica [xliv, xlv] and Si-O-P bonds [xxvi, xlvii] respectively with a majority of free silica (97%) (see the deconvoluted values in Table 4). The same contributions can be seen for 1-M3-70 (WR1) with quite similar proportions. In addition to these contributions, 4-M3-20 (WR1) presents three additional ones at -86, -89 and -94 ppm, which all correspond to mullite [xliv, xlv]. When the setting temperature increases for this composition (4-M3-40), the contribution of Si-O-P bonds disappears, while contributions corresponding to free silica (-110 and -115 ppm), quartz (-99 ppm) and mullite (-89 and -96 ppm) are still present. Finally, in the case of $^{31}$P MAS-NMR spectra (Figure 5C), the three contributions at -28, -31 and -38 ppm for 1-M3-20 (TR) sample correspond to AlPO$_4$ entities, namely phosphotridymite [xlii, xlvii] and phosphocristobalite [xlviii] and -P=O in vitreous silica [xxviii]. Others samples contain also the same contributions except 4-M3-40 for which the contribution of -P=O in vitreous silica was not observed.

As for consolidated materials, a graphic representation is given in Figure 6 to summarize these results. It represents the relative areas of the different contributions, plotted as a function of the chemical shifts for the species $^{31}$P, $^{29}$Si and $^{27}$Al, for all the samples. From these
informations, it can be concluded that regardless the setting temperature for Al/P =1, all aluminum reacts with the phosphorus ions to form AlPO$_4$ polymorphs (berlinite and phosphotridymite, see Figure 6A). Concerning silicium ions, Figure 6B, the predominant entity is free amorphous silica, which is formed from silicic acid observed in the consolidated samples before calcination. Indeed, silicic acid is completely and irreversibly deshydroxylated from 900°C [xl|x]. Therefore, the identified Si-O-P bonds are formed from unreacted PO$_4^{3-}$ and unreacted silicon. When Al/P increases from 1 to 4, the same AlPO$_4$ polymorphs and free amorphous silica can be observed. Al/P = 4 consolidated samples also contained an excess unreacted metakaolin leading to an excess of aluminum species which had not reacted. This aluminum combined to silicon forms mullite at high temperature. At a setting temperature of 40°C, Si-O-P bonds and P=O in amorphous silica are not formed because the increase of setting temperature allowed a better dissolution of metakaolin as explained previously. All phosphorous thus reacts with aluminum, leaving no phosphorous to react with silicon.

3.3 Structural properties - XRD

The XRD patterns of the samples before and after calcination at 1000°C are shown in the Figure 4. Before calcination, all the diagrams present some broad amorphous humps, with peaks corresponding to crystallized anatase and quartz impurities. These crystalline phases are already present in the raw metakaolin [ii, i]. The amorphous contributions, located at around 8°, 22° and 27°, correspond to silicic acid [li], amorphous silica [lii] and geopolymeric networks [liii] respectively, as observed in NMR. Regardless of the composition, the positions at 22 and 27° do not vary. However, the position of the silicic acid contribution, which is very sensitive to the amount of water, shifts towards lower angles when the setting temperature and/or the Al/P ratio increase. Moreover, for 4-M3-20 (WR1), another hump was detected at 41° and could be assigned to an amorphous Al-O-P network according to NMR results.
After a heat-treatment at 1000°C, the samples are mostly crystallized. However, samples with Al/P = 1 are better crystallized, and exhibit different AlPO₄ polymorphs such as berlinite (hexagonal), phosphotridymite (triclinic) and phosphocristobalite (orthorhombic). Anatase originating from the raw metakaolin is also observed. In these samples, phosphotridymite phase predominates over the berlinite. Moreover, there are more AlPO₄ phosphotridymite polymorphs in 1-M3-20 (TR) than in 1-M3-70 (WR1). The calcined samples synthetized with ratio Al/P = 4 (4-M3-20 (WR2) and 4-M3-40 (N)) are not so well crystallized and exhibit broader peaks. Only a few peaks from AlPO₄ orthorhombic and triclinic polymorphs were observed. The quartz and anatase which were present in the raw metakaolin are clearly visible. Finally, the presence of an excess of unreacted metakaolin leads to the formation of poorly crystallized mullite.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structure

In this study, it was observed that with an Al/P ratio of 1, an Al-O-P network combined with Si(OH)₄ is formed regardless the setting temperature. The formation of Si(OH)₄ is however favored by the increase of the setting temperature. When the Al/P ratio increases to 4, two main networks are formed (Si-O-Al and Al-O-P). With the increase of the setting temperature, the proportion of Al-O-P increases and different secondary networks are observed such as amorphous silica, Si-O-P and -P=O in amorphous silica.

The amount of Al-O-P bonds decreases when an increase of the setting temperature occurs in the case of an Al/P ratio of 1. As the temperature increases, consolidation-induced thermal agitation indeed makes the material more unstable. The reactions are inhibited and the species are fixed such as observed in glasses [liv]. These facts are in agreement with the crystallization of different AlPO₄ polymorphs and the presence of free amorphous silica.
observed by XRD. Moreover, the amount of phosphotridymite decreases significantly when the setting temperature increases with Al/P=1. With a ratio Al/P of 4, the excess of metakaolin leads to a higher dispersion of the reactive species. In this case, the increase of the setting temperature also favors the dissolution of the metakaolin. Thus, more free-silica is dispersed in the geopolymeric network. With an Al/P ratio of 1, XRD patterns confirms the tendency as there are slightly less geopolymeric contribution as compared to silica’s one. For Al/P = 4, the presence of unreacted metakaolin changes $\frac{I_6}{I_5}$, leaving some free aluminum in the system which cannot react with phosphorous. Consequently, an increase of the setting temperature leads to a drastic decrease of the intensity of the geopolymer’s contribution to the benefit of silicon, which can be the reason of the poor resistance of this sample.

4.2. Working properties and resistances

The resistance properties of the samples were considered both with the working properties (Young’s modulus of untreated samples and amount of physisorbed water of saturated samples) and the local environment of the samples as characterized using NMR. These informations are reported in the Figure 9.

Firstly, in the case of the thermal resistance (Figure 9A) the amount of Al-O-P bonds formed, of unreacted metakaolin in the consolidated samples and Young’s modulus display the same tendency. Indeed, the contribution of Al-O-P bonds is significantly higher when the material is thermally resistant (58% instead of less than 45% for others). Moreover, the absence of unreacted metakaolin in 1-M3-20 suggests that all aluminum must react with phosphorus to obtain a thermal resistant specimen. In such a case Al-O-P bonds acts as fillers in the material, conducting to the thermal resistance. The unreacted metakaolin prevents the creation of these fillers, deteriorating these properties. The higher proportion of Al-O-P bonds and the absence of metakaolin also lead to higher Young’s modulus values confirming the presence of stronger
bonds in the materials (21 GPa for 1-M3-20 against 1 GPa for 4-M3-40). These strongly correlated parameters thus allow to predict the thermal properties of these geopolymers.

The properties of water resistant samples (1-M3-70 and 4-M3-20) as well as the structural data (Q^0(1Al) and Q^1(2Al)) and amount of physisorbed water (Figure 9B) display also the same tendency. The low amount of Q^0(1Al) and high amount of Q^1(2Al) in these samples suggest that water resistant materials exhibit a more ordered local environment. The presence of Q^0(1Al) indeed leads to a disordered structure. The presence of different formed networks also induces a poor cohesion of the material, thus letting water leach into the sample. The study of these parameters give ways to effectively predict the water resistant of sample.

5. Conclusion

Acid-based geopolymers can exhibit different working properties such as thermal resistance or water resistance. This study aims to explain these properties from ^27Al, ^29Si and ^31P NMR and XRD data. The presence of different networks in the structure of geopolymer materials with different properties was confirmed by the structural analyses. Consolidated geopolymers are mainly constituted of Al-O-P and Si(OH)_4 networks. If both Al/P ratio and setting temperature increase, some unreacted metakaolin is observed in the samples. After a thermal treatment at 1000°C, the samples are essentially composed of AlPO_4 polymorphs, free amorphous silica and Si-O-P networks. Moreover, for Al/P = 4, mullite phase appears. According to the Al/P ratio and the setting temperature, the proportion of networks is different which induces different final properties.

Materials are thermally resistant when they exhibit a high proportion of Al-O-P bonds and no unreacted metakaolin. For water resistance, the geopolymer needs an ordered structure including a low proportion of Q^0(1Al) and a high amount of Q^1(2Al) (^31P NMR data).
**Table 1:** Nomenclature of the samples belonging to the four different resistance groups. TR: thermal resistance, WR1 and WR2: water resistance with respectively Al/P = 1 and 4 and N: none resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Al/P</th>
<th>Setting temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-20</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-70</td>
<td>WR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-20</td>
<td>WR2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-40</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Young's modulus of consolidated samples and amount of physisorbed water in the samples after a water resistance test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>1-M3-20</th>
<th>1-M3-70</th>
<th>4-M3-20</th>
<th>4-M3-40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal (TR)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (WR) (Al/P=1)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (WR) (Al/P=4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (WR) (Al/P=4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (WR) (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: $^{27}$Al, $^{29}$Si, $^{31}$P NMR data (chemical shift $\delta$, relative area and full width at half maximum FWHM) for raw metakaolin M3 and 1-M3-20, 1-M3-70, 4-M3-20 and 4-M3-40 samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{27}$Al Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{29}$Si Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{31}$P Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3 [31, 32]</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-20</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-95</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-101</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-105</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: $^{27}$Al, $^{29}$Si, $^{31}$P NMR data (chemical shift $\delta$, relative area and full width at half maximum FWHM) for 1-M3-20, 1-M3-70, 4-M3-20 and 4-M3-40 samples after a calcination at 1000°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>$\delta$ (ppm)</th>
<th>Relative area (%)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-114</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-M3-70</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-122</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-105</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-M3-40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Synthesis chart of acid-based geopolymers.

Figure 2: Weight loss (dash) and derivate of heat flow (line) curves of 1-M3-20 (TR) sample.
Figure 3: NMR spectra of (A) $^{27}$Al, (B) $^{29}$Si and (C) $^{31}$P for (TR) 1-M3-20, (WR1) 1-M3-70, (WR2) 4-M3-20 and (N) 4-M3-40 samples after their consolidation.
Figure 4: Relative area of NMR contributions as a function of the shift measured in (A) $^{27}$Al, (B) $^{29}$Si and (C) $^{31}$P, for (■) 1-M3-20, (●) 1-M3-70, (▲) 4-M3-20 and (◆) 4-M3-40 consolidated samples.
Figure 5: NMR spectra of (A) 27Al, (B) 29Si and (C) 31P for (TR) 1-M3-20, (WR1) 1-M3-70, (WR2) 4-M3-20 and (N) 4-M3-40 samples after a calcination at 1000°C.
Figure 6: Relative area of NMR spectra as a function of the shift measured in (A) $^{27}$Al, (B) $^{29}$Si and (C) $^{31}$P shifts for (■) 1-M3-20, (●) 1-M3-70, (▲) 4-M3-20 and (◆) 4-M3-40 samples after a calcination at 1000°C.
Figure 7: XRay diagrams (A) before and (B) after a calcination at 1000°C of (TR) 1-M1-20, (WR1) 4-M3-70, (WR2) 1-M4-70, and (N) 4-M4-20 samples. The following crystalline phases were identified: A: anatase (PDF n°00-021-1272), Q: quartz (PDF n°00-046-1045), T: AlPO4 triclinic (PDF n°04-012-4467), O: AlPO4 orthorombic (PDF n°04-009-3674), H: berlinite (PDF n°01-089-4201), Ah: Al(H2PO4)3 (PDF n°00-014-0377) and M: mullite (PDF n°01-074-2419).
Figure 8: Values of $\frac{I_{\text{Al-O-P}}}{I_{\text{Si(OH)}_4} + I_{\text{Si-O-Al}}}$ (□) and $\frac{I_G}{I_S}$ (■) ratios according to XRD and NMR data respectively (with the different following contribution: $I_G$ geopolymeric networks at 27°, $I_S$ amorphous silica at 22°, $I_{\text{Al-O-P}}$ Al-O-P bonds at -13 and -22 ppm on $^{27}$Al NMR, $I_{\text{Si(OH)}_4}$ silicic acid at -111 ppm on $^{29}$Si NMR and $I_{\text{Si-O-Al}}$ Si-O-Al bonds at -90, -94, and -102 ppm on $^{29}$Si NMR) for the four studied samples.
Figure 9: (A) Thermal resistance (histogram), values of contributions of (■) unreacted metakaolin at 50, 28 and 9 ppm, (●) Al$_{VI}$-O-P located at -13 ppm on $^{27}$Al NMR and (▲) Young’s modulus of untreated samples. (B) Water resistance (histogram), values of (○) $Q_0$(1Al) at -7 ppm on $^{31}$P NMR and (Δ) amount of physisorbed water in saturated samples as a function of each sample.
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