

**Letter to the Editor: Comments on “Adsorption of methylene blue and Cd(II) onto maleylated modified hydrochar from water”**

Jean-Claude Bollinger

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Claude Bollinger. Letter to the Editor: Comments on “Adsorption of methylene blue and Cd(II) onto maleylated modified hydrochar from water”. *Environmental Pollution (Elsevier)*, 2020, 261, pp.113824. 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113014 . hal-02937418

**HAL Id: hal-02937418**

**<https://hal-unilim.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02937418>**

Submitted on 13 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Letter to the Editor

2 *published in Environmental Pollution* **261** (2020) 113824

3  
4  
5 **Comments on “Adsorption of methylene blue and Cd(II) onto maleylated modified**  
6 **hydrochar from water”**

7  
8  
9 **Jean-Claude BOLLINGER**

10  
11 Université de Limoges, Faculté des Sciences & Techniques, Groupement de Recherches Eau Sol  
12 Environnement (GRESE), 87060 Limoges, France

13  
14  
15  
16 E-mail address: [jean-claude.bollinger@unilim.fr](mailto:jean-claude.bollinger@unilim.fr)



44 normality assumptions of standard least-squares. In this setting, non-linear method provides a  
45 mathematically rigorous method for determining model parameter values; fortunately, we all have  
46 now easy access to computer programs with non-linear least-squares (NLLS) adjustments, to be  
47 applied in the present case in place of linear regression analyses. Moreover, it is also well known  
48 that different linearization equations can result in different model parameters.  
49 This is why I suggest that the parameters given in the original Tables 1 and 2 should be recalculated  
50 under NLLS method. Then, the authors could compare their fitting results with these non-linear  
51 ones and discuss the possible differences.

52

53 On an other hand, thermodynamic calculations have to be applied with due caution. Firstly, one  
54 should always remember that in the thermodynamic relationships given by [Li et al. \(2019\)](#) as:

$$55 \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_L \quad (5)$$

$$56 \ln K_L = (\Delta S^\circ/R) - (\Delta H^\circ/RT) \quad (6)$$

57 one can only take the logarithm of a dimensionless parameter! Moreover, when calculating the  
58 equilibrium constant, the only concentration unit should be mol/L (not mg/L or any other) to take  
59 the logarithm of the so-called ‘thermodynamic equilibrium constant’, whose (unitless) numerical  
60 value is then the same as that of the so-called practical constant from mol/L concentration units,  
61 taking into account the standard state  $C^\circ = 1$  mol/L. Although this should be a well-known topic, it  
62 is too often forgotten; for recent discussions, one can read the following references: [Salvestrini et](#)  
63 [al., 2014](#); [Zhou and Zhou, 2014](#); [Tran et al., 2017](#). Thus, the data given in original Table 4 have  
64 probably no real significance and they should be recalculated.

65 In the specific case of MB adsorption, one had to replace the Langmuir adsorption constant  $K_L$  by  
66 calculating  $K_L^\circ$  the (dimensionless) thermodynamic Langmuir constant for the adsorption process.

67 To do this, the original authors should use the equation:

$$68 K_L^\circ = K_L (\text{L/mg}) \times 1,000 (\text{mg/g}) \times M_{\text{MB}} (\text{g/mol}) \times C^\circ (\text{mol/L}) \quad (7)$$

69 (cited as equation (12) in [Mouni et al., 2018](#)) where  $M_{MB} = 319.85$  g/mol is the MB molar mass,  
70 and the factor 1,000 allows converting g to mg. Then, they can calculate correct thermodynamic  
71 parameters according to the present equations (8) and (6):

$$72 \quad \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_L^\circ \quad (8)$$

73 and draw a new Figure S3 (as presented in the original Supplementary file).

74

75 Several other confusions can alter the impact of the original study, as detailed below.

76 The term ‘heavy metal’, here attributed to Cadmium (6 appearances in the full text) is not really  
77 appropriate, and one should commonly replace it by ‘trace element/metal’ or by ‘potentially toxic  
78 element/metal’; for a useful reference on this subject, one can read the recent discussion by [Pourret](#)  
79 [\(2018\)](#) and references therein. The old references to original [Langmuir \(1916\)](#) and [Freundlich](#)  
80 [\(1906\)](#) papers are not appropriate nowadays: it is certainly better to cite a recent review on the  
81 subject, for example [Tran et al. \(2017\)](#).

82 The fact that experimental data can be satisfactorily represented with a given model equation is not  
83 any proof or any prediction of a given controlling step (kinetics) or of a given adsorption  
84 mechanisms (isotherms, thermodynamics). For example, [Li et al. \(2019\)](#) stated in their subsection  
85 3.3 ‘that the pseudo-2nd-order equation was better fitted to depict the MB and Cd(II) adsorption  
86 onto CFHC than pseudo-1st order kinetic equation. This indicated the chemisorption was the  
87 dominant adsorption mechanism’, but here the experimental data were only represented with  
88 empirical mathematical models. Moreover, recently [Wang and Giammar \(2019\)](#) have drawn our  
89 attention to the fact that in many cases the homogeneous surface diffusion model (HSDM) will give  
90 better insight on the adsorption mechanism in spite of correct fitting with a PSO model. Similarly,  
91 with reference to [Salvestrini et al. \(2014\)](#) the comments about the sign and the significance of the  
92 thermodynamic parameters should be moderated. For example, [Li et al. \(2019\)](#) claimed in their

93 subsection 3.5 a direct correlation between endothermic values and thermodynamic spontaneity; in  
94 fact, the species at equilibrium were not in their standard state (in the thermodynamic sense).

95

96 It is of course important to emphasize that this *Letter to the Editor* should not bring discredit upon  
97 the work by [Li et al. \(2019\)](#). The originally published article is really interesting and provides  
98 significant contribution to the scientific knowledge; however, as indicated above some corrections  
99 should be conducted and published by the original authors. The present author hopes that these  
100 comments will be read in the spirit in which they are intended; that is, constructive criticism to  
101 produce a better final scientific paper, and to avoid the dissemination of undesirable and overlooked  
102 mistakes into the scientific literature, as discussed for example in the recent critical review by [Tran](#)  
103 [et al. \(2017\)](#).

104

#### 105 **Disclosure statement**

106 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

#### 107 **Funding**

108 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or  
109 non-profit sectors.

110

#### 111 **References**

112

113 [Badertscher, M., Pretsch, E., 2006. Bad results from good data. Trends Anal. Chem. 25, 1131-1138.](#)

114 [Barrow, N.J., 2008. The description of sorption curves. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 59, 900-910.](#)

115 [Freundlich, H.M.F., 1906. Über die Adsorption in Lösungen. Z. Phys. Chem. 57, 385-471.](#)

116 [Langmuir, I., 1916. The constitution and fundamental properties of solids and liquids. J. Am. Chem.](#)

117 [Soc. 38, 2221-2295.](#)

118 Li, B., Guo, J.Z., Lv, K., Fan, J.J., 2019. Adsorption of methylene blue and Cd(II) onto maleylated  
119 modified hydrochar from water. *Environ. Pollut.* 254, 113014.

120 Mouni, L., Belkhiri, L., Bollinger, J.-C., Bouzaza, A., Assadi, A., Tirri, A., Dahmoune, F., Madani,  
121 K., Remini, H., 2018. Removal of Methylene Blue from aqueous solutions by adsorption on  
122 Kaolin: Kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 153, 38-45.

123 Pourret, O., 2018. On the necessity of banning the term “Heavy Metal” from the scientific literature.  
124 *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 10, 2879.

125 Salvestrini, S., Leone, V., Iovino, P., Canzano, S., Capasso, S., 2014. Considerations about the  
126 correct evaluation of sorption thermodynamic parameters from equilibrium isotherms. *J. Chem.*  
127 *Thermodyn.* 68, 310–316.

128 Simonin, J.-P., 2016. On the comparison of pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order rate laws in  
129 the modeling of adsorption kinetics. *Chem. Eng. J.* 300, 254–263.

130 Tran, H.N., You, S.-J., Hosseini-Bandegharai, A., Chao, H.-P., 2017. Mistakes and inconsistencies  
131 regarding adsorption of contaminants from aqueous solutions: A critical review. *Water Res.* 120,  
132 88-116.

133 Wang, Z., Giammar, D.E., 2019. Tackling deficiencies in the presentation and interpretation of  
134 adsorption results for new materials (Viewpoint). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 5543-5544.

135 Zhou, X., Zhou, X., 2014. The unit problem in the thermodynamic calculation of adsorption using  
136 the Langmuir equation. *Chem. Eng. Comm.* 201, 1459-1467.

137

138 Jean-Claude BOLLINGER  
139 *Université de Limoges, Faculté des Sciences & Techniques,*  
140 *Groupement de Recherches Eau Sol Environnement (GRESE),*  
141 *87060 Limoges, France*  
142 E-mail address: [jean-claude.bollinger@unilim.fr](mailto:jean-claude.bollinger@unilim.fr)